UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/588,410	03/12/2007	Shmuel Wolf	15872.017	8811
27887 FENNEMORE	7590 06/17/200 CRAIG		EXAMINER	
3003 NORTH (CENTRAL AVENUE		KUBELIK, ANNE R	
SUITE 2600 PHOENIX, AZ 85012			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1638	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			06/17/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Occurrence	10/588,410	WOLF ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Anne R. Kubelik	1638				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 1 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on						
	-· action is non-final.					
<i>,</i> —	· 					
closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
		3 3. 3 . 2 . 3.				
Disposition of Claims						
 4) Claim(s) 1-54 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) 1-54 are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. 						
Application Papers						
 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. 						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 						
Attachment(s) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 1 Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date Notice of Informal Patent Application Other: PTO-324.						

Application/Control Number: 10/588,410 Page 2

Art Unit: 1638

1. The amendments to the claims filed 3 August 2006 to do not follow the Revised Amendment Practice of 37 CFR 1.121. Each claim has not been provided with the proper status identifier, and as such, the individual status of each claim cannot be identified. The status of every claim must be indicated after its claim number by using one of the following status identifiers: (Original), (Currently amended), (Canceled), (Previously presented), (New), (Not entered), (Withdrawn) and (Withdrawn-currently amended).

As such, this amendment is not entered. Claims 1-54 are pending.

Election/Restrictions

2. Restriction is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 and 372.

This application contains the following inventions or groups of inventions which are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1.

In accordance with 37 CFR 1.499, applicant is required, in reply to this action, to elect a single invention to which the claims must be restricted.

Group I, claim(s) claims 1-42 and 53-54, drawn to a watermelon variety and fruit with elevated fructose or sucrose content, with equal or reduced total sugar content, devoid of bitterness and having superior sweet taste characteristics and suitable for commercial scale cultivation, and methods of crossing it.

Group II, claim(s) 43-48, drawn to a method that produces watermelon plants with specific fructose and/or sucrose contents and lacking bitterness of wild-type Citrulus species.

Group III, claim(s) 51-52, drawn to hybrid watermelon plants produced by crossing inbred plants with elevated fructose or sucrose content, with equal or reduced total sugar content,

devoid of bitterness and having superior sweet taste characteristics and suitable for commercial scale cultivation.

The inventions listed as Groups I-III do not relate to a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1 because, under PCT Rule 13.2, they lack the same or corresponding special technical features for the following reasons:.

The technical feature linking Groups I-III appears to be watermelons with elevated fructose or sucrose content.

However, ELMSTROM et al (1981, Amer. Soc. Hort. Sci. 160:330-333) teach inbred watermelon lines in which the average fructose content is at least 50% of the total soluble sugar (Table 2). Thus, claim 1 among others, is not novel.

Therefore, the technical feature linking Groups I-III is not special and the Groups are not so linked under PCR Rule 13.1.

Applicant is advised that for the reply to this requirement to be complete, it <u>must</u> include (i) an election of an invention to be examined even though the requirement may be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out the supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse. Traversal must be present at the time of election in order to be considered timely. Failure to timely traverse the requirement will result in the loss of the right petition under 37 CFR 1.144.

Application/Control Number: 10/588,410

Art Unit: 1638

Should Applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions are not patently distinct, Applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a refection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention(s).

Page 4

If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which of these claims are readable on the elected invention.

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a petition under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Anne R. Kubelik, whose telephone number is (571) 272-0801. The examiner can normally be reached Monday through Friday, 8:30 am - 5:00 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Anne Marie Grunberg, can be reached at (571) 272-0975.

The central fax number for official correspondence is (571) 273-8300.

Patent applicants with problems or questions regarding electronic images that can be viewed in the Patent Application Information Retrieval system (PAIR) can now contact the USPTO's Patent Electronic Business Center (Patent EBC) for assistance. Representatives are available to answer your questions daily from 6 am to midnight (EST). The toll free number is (866) 217-9197. When calling please have your application serial or patent number, the type of document you are having an image problem with, the number of pages and the specific nature of the problem. The Patent Electronic Business Center will notify applicants of the resolution of the problem within 5-7 business days. Applicants can also check PAIR to confirm that the problem has been corrected. The USPTO's Patent Electronic Business Center is a complete service center supporting all patent business on the Internet. The USPTO's PAIR system provides Internet-based access to patent application status and history information. It also enables applicants to view the scanned images of their own application file folder(s) as well as general patent information available to the public.

Application/Control Number: 10/588,410 Page 5

Art Unit: 1638

For all other customer support, please call the USPTO Call Center (UCC) at 800-786-9199.

Anne Kubelik, Ph.D. June 17, 2008

/Anne R. Kubelik/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1638